

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITEDATATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION N | NO.  | FILING DATE                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------|------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/602,988    |      | 06/25/2003                 | Anthony J. Wasilewski   | A9286               | 5349             |  |
| 5642          | 7590 | 01/11/2006                 | EXAMINER                |                     |                  |  |
|               |      | LANTA, INC.                | CHAI, LONGBIT           |                     |                  |  |
|               |      | PROPERTY DEPAI<br>FPARKWAY | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
|               |      | E, GA 30044                | 2131                    |                     |                  |  |
|               |      |                            | DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006 |                     |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summan   |   |            | Application No. |  | Applicant(s)       |                     |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|------------|-----------------|--|--------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
|  |   |            | 10/602,98       | 3  | WASILEWSKI ET AL.  |                     |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office Action Summary   | Examiner   |                 | Art Unit   |                    |                     |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |            | Longbit Ch      | ai   | 2131               |                     |  |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communicat<br>or Reply   | tion app   | ears on the     | cover sheet with the   | correspondence a   | ddress              |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |            |                 |  |                    |                     |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |            |                 |  |                    |                     |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) filed of   | on 25 Ju   | ine 2003.       |  |                    |                     |  |  |  |  |
| ·  | •   |            |                 | n-final.   |                    |                     |  |  |  |  |
| 3)   |   |            |                 |  |                    |                     |  |  |  |  |
| ٠,۵  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |            |                 |  |                    |                     |  |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | ·   |            |                 | ,,   |                    |                     |  |  |  |  |
| · ·  | isposition of Claims  |            |                 |  |                    |                     |  |  |  |  |
| 4)[  | Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.   |            |                 |  |                    |                     |  |  |  |  |
| E)   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |            |                 |  |                    |                     |  |  |  |  |
| •  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |            |                 |  |                    |                     |  |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.   |            |                 |  |                    |                     |  |  |  |  |
| 7) 📙   | •   |            |                 |  |                    |                     |  |  |  |  |
| 8)   | Claim(s) are subject to restriction   | n and/oi   | r election re   | quirement.   |                    |                     |  |  |  |  |
| Applicat   | on Papers   |            |                 |  |                    |                     |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |            |                 |  |                    |                     |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠   | 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 June 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.   |            |                 |  |                    |                     |  |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objectio   | n to the o | drawing(s) b    | e held in abeyance. Se   | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). |                     |  |  |  |  |
|  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |            |                 |  |                    |                     |  |  |  |  |
| 11)  | The oath or declaration is objected to by   | y the Ex   | aminer. No      | te the attached Offic  | e Action or form P | TO-152.             |  |  |  |  |
| Priority (   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |            |                 |  |                    |                     |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |   |            |                 |  |                    |                     |  |  |  |  |
| 2) 🔲 Notic<br>3) 🔯 Infor   | t(s)<br>e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-<br>mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO<br>r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/25/2003</u> . |            |                 | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail 0 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | Date               | <sup>-</sup> O-152) |  |  |  |  |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

1. Applicant's claims for benefit of Continuation-in-part of Application priority date under 35 U.S.C. 120 is acknowledged.

Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 as follows:

The application is filed on 6/25/2003 but all of the claims 1 – 12 are not solely directed to originally supported subject matter present in the parent application (for example, related to partially-encrypted data stream).

However, the later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application); the disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

As a result, all of the claims 1 - 12 will not receive benefit of the filing date of the earlier file application, and thereby the effective filing date for the subject matter defined in the pending claims in this application remains 6/25/2003.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 and 7 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 13 and 23 of copending Application No. 10/602,986. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1 and 7 of the instant application are envisioned by copending application claims in that claims 1, 13 and 23 of the copending application contain all the limitations of claims 1 and 7 of the instant

Art Unit: 2131

application. Claims 1 and 7 of the instant application therefore are not patently distinct from the earlier copending application claims and as such are unpatentable for obvioustype double patenting.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 102 that forms the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 – 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Unger
 (PN: 2003/0026423).

As per claim 1, Unger teaches a method for providing a program in a conditional access system, the method comprising the steps of:

selecting a digital bit stream from a plurality of digital bit streams (Unger: Para [0055] – [0068] & Table 1 and Figure 6);

encrypting the selected digital bit stream according to a first encryption method to provide a first encrypted stream (Unger: Table 1 and Figure 6 / E318);

encrypting the selected digital bit stream according to a second encryption method to provide a second encrypted stream (Unger: Table 1 and Figure 6 / E324); multiplexing the first encrypted stream, the second encrypted stream, and the plurality of digital bit streams to provide a partially-encrypted stream (Unger: Table 1 and Figure 6 / E322 & Para [0053] – [0058]); and

transmitting the partially-encrypted stream (Unger: Figure 6).

As per claim 7, Unger teaches a method for providing a plurality of programs in a conditional access system, the method comprising the steps of:

selecting a plurality of elementary bit streams from a transport stream (Unger: Para [0055] – [0068] & Table 1 and Figure 6);

encrypting a portion of the selected elementary bit stream according to a first encryption method to provide a first encrypted stream (Unger: Table 1 and Figure 6 / E318);

encrypting the portion of the selected elementary bit stream according to a second encryption method to provide a second encrypted stream (Unger: Table 1 and Figure 6 / E324);

multiplexing the first and second encrypted streams and the remaining portion of the selected elementary bit stream with the transport stream (Unger: Table 1 and Figure 6 / E322 & Para [0053] – [0058]); and

transmitting the multiplexed stream (Unger: Figure 6).

Art Unit: 2131

As per claim 2, Unger teaches a portion of the selected digital bit stream is encrypted, wherein the encrypted portion and an unencrypted portion of the selected digital bit stream are combined with the plurality of digital bit streams (Unger: Table 1 and Figure 6 / E322 & Para [0058] Line 13 – 16).

As per claim 3, 6, 9 and 12, Unger teaches the selected digital bit stream includes a plurality of packets, and wherein each packet includes a packet identifier identifying whether the packet is at least one of a video stream, an audio stream, and a data stream (Unger: Table 1 and Para [0010] & [0047]: there are nine program digital bit stream, as shown in Table 1, constitutes video, audio and auxiliary data packet having a particular packet identifier).

As per claim 8 (and claims 4, 5, 10, 11 and 12), Unger teaches each of the plurality of elementary bit streams includes a plurality of packets, wherein each packet includes a packet header that is indicative of at least one of a video stream, an audio stream, and a data stream (Unger: Table 1 and Para [0010] & [0047]: there are nine program digital bit stream, as shown in Table 1, constitutes video, audio and auxiliary data packet having a particular packet identifier).

Application/Control Number: 10/602,988

Art Unit: 2131

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Longbit Chai whose telephone number is 571-272-3788. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LBC MC

Longbit Chai Examiner Art Unit 2131

May Examiner

Page 7

N2131